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Anatomical variation of the Dorsalis pedis artery in a South African population – A Cadaveric Study
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A Cadaveric study in which a total of 33 dissected lower limbs (27 adult cadavers and 6 partial wet lower limb specimens) of a South African population sample were studied. The course and branching pattern of the dorsalis pedis artery were photographed and documented.

Results: Nine variations of the dorsalis pedis artery were recorded, with the standard branching pattern being the most common with an incidence of 36.36% and a completely absent dorsalis pedis artery variation was noted in 6.06% of the sample.

Conclusion: Nine variations of the arterial anatomy of the dorsalis pedis artery were identified in this current study. Each of these may possibly alter the location or strength of the dorsalis pedis pulse affecting clinical assessment outcomes. Knowledge of dorsalis pedis variations may be useful to clinicians when making clinical decisions.

1. Introduction

The dorsalis pedis artery (DPA) (arteria dorsalis pedis), is an artery that carries blood to the dorsum of the foot. It arises at the anterior aspect of the ankle joint and is a continuation of the anterior tibial artery. It terminates at the proximal part of the first intermetatarsal space, where it divides into two branches, the first dorsal metatarsal artery and the deep plantar artery [1,2].

In clinical practice, the dorsalis pedis artery is clinically invaluable in the assessment of blood circulation to the foot [3]. During clinical training the importance of this artery is emphasised, for example, the absence of the DPA pulsation in a child can lead to contracture and retard the trophic growth of foot [4] and in a diabetic patient may be indicative of an at-risk foot [3]. Therefore, correct assessment of blood supply to the foot is essential, as inaccurate findings have the potential to become limb threatening conditions, such as necrosis and gangrene [3].

In order to ensure an accurate clinical assessment takes place, clinicians should have a thorough understanding of the foot anatomy including the vascular anatomy of the foot, and variations thereof. This will enable clinicians, to differentiate anatomical variations from clinical conditions such as dorsalis pedis aneurysm, deep vein thrombosis and peripheral arterial diseases [4,5]. Many anatomical reference books provide a standardised anatomical location of the arteries of the foot, however, it is important to recognise that there are variations of anatomy, which are crucial to consider during a clinical assessment [2,6,7]. Therefore, a full understanding of the vascular supply of the foot taking into consideration anatomical variations is needed. In our context with a particular emphasis on the South African demographics, such variations must include population background.

A recent study by Kulkarni and Ramesh classified the anatomical variations in the dorsalis pedis artery [5,7,8–10] and only one, which investigated the size and branching pattern of the DPA [11] in a South African population.

A recent study by Kulkarni and Ramesh classified branching differences of the dorsalis pedis artery into five types, each representative of a particular branching pattern of the artery [8]. They collected data from 33 partial limbs, all of which were from Indian population. They
subsequently classified variations into five types and their incidence noted. In addition to these classification types, Kulkarni and Ramesh found that only two of the partial specimens demonstrated a laterally deviated dorsalis pedis artery, and as such, no classification was assigned to this variation [8]. The standardised pattern of vascularisation was an uncommon finding in their study, with only 15.2% of specimens demonstrating this pattern (Fig. 1) [8].

Rajeshwari et al. on a sample of 42 Indian population specimens found the classic vascularisation pattern in 54.76% of the specimens and they described six types of variations of the dorsalis pedis artery and its branches [12]. Their results were similar to a study conducted by Vijayalakshmi et al., which also established six types of variation in the

**Fig. 1.** Types of variations of *dorsalis pedis* artery (with permission Kulkarni and Ramesh [8]).

Suggested key to Types or patterns *dorsalis pedis* artery variations as suggested by Kulkarni and Ramesh [8]:

**Type A**—usual pattern of arterial tree of the dorsum of the foot. Dorsalis pedis artery along its course divided into lateral and medial tarsal artery, first dorsal metatarsal artery and arcuate artery.

**Type B**—anterior tibial artery divides into a larger lateral tarsal artery and a smaller *dorsalis pedis* artery.

**Type C**—*dorsalis pedis* artery is absent as an independent vessel and becomes merely a loop in the dorsum arterial pattern.

**Type D**—reduction of the anterior tibial artery and its branches and increasing participation of arteries of sole in the supply of dorsum. *Dorsalis pedis* artery is not recognizable even as a loop and anterior tibial artery continue downwards as a central channel with reduced size. Pulses not clinically palpable.

**Type E**—increasing presence of anterior peroneal branch from the posterior tibial artery. Plantar tibial vessels still take a prominent part in arterial supply. *Dorsalis pedis* artery along
vascular pattern of the *dorsalis pedis* artery and its branches within a sample of 50 Indian specimens [5]. Similar to Rajeshwari et al., Vijayalakshmi et al. recorded the classic pattern in 56% of specimens; the only exception was the arcuate artery forming a U-shaped loop.

In that study, Yamada et al. noted an absent *dorsalis pedis* artery in 6.7% of cases within a sample of 17 cadavers from the USA and 33% of the sample demonstrated an absent arcuate artery [13]. A study conducted by McKeon et al. utilising a sample of 60 USA specimens also found variations in the branching of the *dorsalis pedis* artery [14].

Vázquez et al. studied the variations of the *dorsalis pedis* artery and the anterior tibial artery and found two patterns of branching of the *dorsalis pedis* artery in a sample of 150 cadavers from Spain [15]. The majority of the sample 95.7% had the standard vascularisation pattern and in 1.3% of the sample, the perforating branch of the fibular artery

### Table 1

Variations of the *dorsalis pedis* artery per sex and population group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Black African</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Standard vascular pattern</td>
<td>6 (37.50)</td>
<td>6 (42.86)</td>
<td>6 (42.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td><em>Dorsalis pedis</em> artery absent</td>
<td>2 (12.50)</td>
<td>2 (14.29)</td>
<td>2 (14.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Arcuate artery absent</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Absence of arcuate artery and variation in the number of lateral tarsal arteries</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>3 (17.65)</td>
<td>3 (17.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Variation in the origin of second dorsal metatarsal artery</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td><em>Dorsalis pedis</em> present with variation in branching pattern</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Variation of origin of <em>dorsalis pedis</em> and lateral tarsal arteries</td>
<td>2 (12.50)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Poorly formed <em>dorsalis pedis</em> artery and lateral tarsal artery</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Arcuate artery forms a U-shaped loop</td>
<td>1 (6.25)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
<td>1 (7.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.1. Pattern A — standard vascular pattern.
replaced the \textit{dorsalis pedis} artery’s course. Reich looked at a sample of 70 individual specimens from a North American population (of different populations and sex) and found that 74% of specimens exhibited a classic vascular pattern and only 14.2% had an absent \textit{dorsalis pedis} artery \cite{16}. Adachi examined 250 individual specimens obtained from a Japanese population, which demonstrated the standardised vasculature in 51.6% of specimens \cite{17}, whilst Salvi found the classic pattern in 68.5% of 200 individual specimens taken from an Italian population, as reported by Reich \cite{16}.

The \textit{dorsalis pedis} artery is one example of an anatomical structure that potentially shows variation as witnessed from several studies, which have established the presence of a number of variations of the \textit{dorsalis pedis}, and have sought to classify them. However, the researchers found no current studies of the \textit{dorsalis pedis} artery and its branching patterns on any African population. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate and document the arterial variations of the \textit{dorsalis pedis} artery observed within the South African population.

The population of South Africa is estimated at 56.52 million, with the Black African population in the majority at 80.8% (45.6 million), Coloured 8.8% (4.96 million), Indian/Asian 2.5% (1.40 million) and the White population estimated at 8.0% (4.49 million). Fifty-one percent (28.9 million) of the population is female \cite{18}. Population background in this study was documented as either Black African or White in accordance with the South African Mid-year population estimates, 2015 \cite{18}. This is in line with the sample population of the study as Black Africans and females were in the majority.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Population and sample

Convenience purposive sampling methods were used to include the entire cadaver population in this descriptive study. The sample consisted of 27 adult cadavers and 6 partial lower limb formalin preserved specimens of both sexes i.e. 17 females and 16 males giving a total of 33 pairs of lower limbs. The sample demographics were of 19 Black African and 8 White cadavers, and 4 Black African and 2 White partial wet specimens. Both feet were examined. Only Black African and White cadavers or wet specimens were available for inclusion in this study.

The study population includes all cadavers at the Anatomy Complex. 

![Fig. 3.2. Pattern B — dorsalis pedis artery absent.](image-url)
of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Johannesburg and the School of Anatomical Sciences of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.

Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Johannesburg issued ethical clearance for this study (Ethics Numbers: REC-241112-035).

2.2. Data collection

The population group i.e. Black African or White and sex of each cadaver were documented accordingly. In cases where Health Sciences students had already dissected the dorsum of the foot, the branching pattern of the *dorsalis pedis* artery was observed. If the artery had not been exposed yet at the time of data collection, the researcher completed the dissection. The *dorsalis pedis* artery and its branches were photographed using a Sony Alpha SLT-A33 digital camera. The pattern of vascularisation was classified into groups based on the branching pattern groupings used by Kulkarni and Ramesh [8], and Rajeshwari et al. [12]. This classification was chosen as the most descriptive classification available in the literature and would allow an ease of comparison between the results from the current study and the literature.

2.2.1. Dissection protocol

In cases where the dorsum of the foot was not yet exposed, the dissection process was kept very superficial with the cadaver in a supine position. A short longitudinal incision was made at the midline of the foot just lateral to the *extensor hallucis longus* tendon from the ankle to the base of the third toe. The extensor retinacula were left intact.

Thereafter, two short transverse incisions were made across the ankle joint and across the base of the toes from medial to lateral. The skin was lifted from the subcutaneous fatty tissue with blunt dissection to reveal the *dorsalis pedis* artery. The artery was cleaned with a probe, and the origin of the branches of the artery was documented, therefore the dissection remained superficial with no disturbance of deeper tissues.

2.3. Data analysis

Collected data were analysed with the use of descriptive statistics. Frequency and percentages were used to describe the variations in *dorsalis pedis* artery. During the analysis, comparisons were made between the groups: male and female as well as between the two population groups. The results are presented in the form of photographs, diagrams, tables, and charts which were compiled in Microsoft Excel 2013.
3. Results

3.1. Dorsalis pedis variations

In this study, we observed nine dorsalis pedis arterial patterns and the distribution of these patterns in the studied sample as presented in Fig. 2.

3.2. Dorsalis pedis variation per sex and population group

Table 1 presents the findings of dorsalis pedis patterns observed in the two population groups and between males and females.

Figs. 3.1–3.9, illustrate the dorsalis pedis artery patterns observed in this study. The most common branching pattern recorded 36.4% in this study was Pattern type A, which is representative of the standard pattern of vascularisation of the dorsalis pedis artery as seen in Fig. 3.1.

Pattern type B demonstrates specimens in which the dorsalis pedis artery is absent. Only 6.1% of the total sample population had this anomaly present, making it a rare finding. This variation is presented in Fig. 3.2.

Pattern type C recorded in 15.2% of the population, which lacked an arcuate artery. This was the second most common variation of the branching pattern of the dorsalis pedis artery observed in this study.

Pattern type D is similar to type C in that the arcuate artery is absent. However, type D is different from type C as the lateral tarsal arteries that branch off from the dorsalis pedis artery vary in number. This pattern was recorded in 12.1% of the total sample population demonstrate this variation and is presented in Fig. 3.4.

Pattern type E is representative of the population that have variations in the origin of the second dorsal metatarsal artery. As with type D, only 12.1% of our study population demonstrated this variation in their vascular pattern as seen in Fig. 3.5.

Pattern type F consists of the population in which the dorsalis pedis artery is present with variation in the branching pattern. The 6.1% of the study population that had this pattern of vascularisation had the dorsalis pedis artery present, however, its branches to the rest of the foot were somewhat arbitrary, and did not follow any discernable pattern. See Fig. 3.5. for the actual presentation of this pattern.

Pattern type G was recorded in 6.1% of the sample population in which both the origin of the dorsalis pedis artery and the lateral tarsal arteries were varied.

Pattern type H was noted in 3.0% of the study population and represents a poorly formed dorsalis pedis artery and lateral tarsal arteries.

Pattern type I was found in 3.0% of the population and consists of an arcuate artery that forms a U-shaped loop, rather than the standard pattern of vascularisation.
4. Discussion

This study recorded the anatomical variation of the dorsalis pedis artery in a South African population sample. Pattern B; E; F; H & I was noted only on “Black Africans specimens”, pattern B; G; H & I only in males, C & D more in whites. The findings suggest that there are variations in dorsalis pedis artery (DPA) patterns within the South African population. However, the limited sample does not allow the researchers to make definitive conclusions on population specific variations.

Dorsalis pedis artery is the main source of blood supply to the dorsum of the foot and as such, the knowledge of any variation in its course and distribution is clinically important. In clinical practice, DPA is assessed to evaluate patients with arterial disease and to record peripheral arterial supply. Normally, in its course, it provides the medial tarsal artery, lateral tarsal artery, first dorsal metatarsal artery, arcuate artery and deep plantar artery, which enters the sole after passing between the two heads of first dorsal interosseous muscle and takes part in the formation of the plantar arch [19].

Pattern A, which represents the standard pattern of vascularisation of the dorsalis pedis artery, was the most commonly observed branching type in our sample population at 36.36%. It was present equally amongst male and female specimens though, more common in Black African specimens. However, this may be due to the higher number of Black African specimens compared to White specimens in this study. While the current study has found a lower percentage of cases with pattern A, the findings compare well with those of Rajeshwari et al. [12] and Vijayalakshmi et al. [5] who also found pattern A to be the most prevalent. In their studies, they were using one population group, which make the current findings compare moderately well as we had two population groups. In Table 2 the findings of the current study and those of three recent similar studies are presented.

Pattern B represents an absence of the dorsalis pedis artery. In this variation of the branching pattern, the anterior tibial artery bifurcates to form the medial and lateral tarsal arteries but does not form the dorsalis pedis artery. This is an uncommon finding; the current study found 6.06% of specimens demonstrated a complete absence of the dorsalis pedis artery. Both of these specimens were Black African males. This finding is comparable to that of Rajeshwari et al. who found that 9.52% of their specimens lacked a dorsalis pedis artery [12]. In this regard, the current study and that of Rajeshwari et al. are at odds with Kulkarni and Ramesh who reported the absence of the dorsalis pedis artery in 42.4% of their 33 Indian specimens [7]. The current findings
are also in line with Yamada et al., Reich and Huber who all described an absence of the dorsalis pedis artery in a small percentage of their specimens’ [13,16,20]. Huber reported an absence of dorsalis pedis artery in 14% in 200 cases [20] and Yamada et al. reported a complete absence of dorsalis pedis in 6.7% of their sample [13].

Pattern C is indicative of an absent arcuate artery, that is to say, the arcuate artery was not present in any capacity within the vascularisation of the dorsum of the foot. This pattern variation was observed in 15.15% of the sample and predominantly in the Black African female specimens. The findings of the study are consistent with those of Rajeshwari et al. who reported an absent arcuate artery in 16% of their sample whilst Yamada et al. reported this finding in 33% of specimens [12,13].

Pattern D is similar to pattern C; however, it differs from type C by the variation in the number of lateral tarsal arteries supplying the dorsum of the foot as a form of compensation for the lack of an arcuate artery. These lateral tarsal arteries arise from the dorsalis pedis artery as it courses distally over the foot. We found this variation in 12.12% of the specimens observed and mostly in White females. This is an unusual finding and only one other study has reported this pattern of compensation for an absent arcuate artery. Only Rajeshwari et al. has previously reported similar finding and they reported a 2.38% incidence of this vascular variation [12].

Pattern E is indicative of a variation in the origin of the second dorsal metatarsal artery. This occurs when the dorsalis pedis artery bifurcates to form the medial and lateral tarsal arteries, and the former further divides to form the first and second dorsal metatarsal arteries. Modification of the origin of the artery may result from an embryological developmental interruption in the expected area, causing the vasculature to adapt and form an arterial supply via an alternate course [21]. The current study found this pattern in 12.12% of the sample population and predominantly in Black African female specimens.

Pattern F occurs when the dorsalis pedis artery is present with variation in the branching pattern. Rajeshwari et al. described this as arbitrary branches arising from the dorsalis pedis artery to supply the dorsum of the foot [12]. This pattern of vasculature arise due to individual inconsistencies in the formation of vessels, and not because of any impairment or disruption during angiogenesis [21]. These indiscriminate branches supply the dorsum of the foot sufficiently, consequently, making the presence of additional arteries redundant, hence,
they simply do not develop [7,21]. The current study found this branching pattern in 6.06% of cases, equally distributed between male and female specimens (both specimens were Black African). As alluded to, Rajeshwari et al. reported a higher incidence of 14.29% of this pattern [12]. Hamada et al. and Saeed et al. both observed a similar percentage of specimens with pattern type F [22,23].

Pattern G is indicative of a variation of the origin of dorsalis pedis artery and lateral tarsal arteries. This can occur when the tibialis anterior artery divides into additional branches that then form the dorsalis pedis artery and lateral tarsal artery. Literature suggests that the alternative origin of arteries can follow disruption of embryological development of the vasculature supplying the area [6,7]. This pattern was observed in only two specimens 6.1%, both males one Black African and one White. Huber found a small percentage of cases where the dorsalis pedis artery arose from the peroneal artery; possibly, due to developmental disruption of the anterior tibial artery [20] and Lee et al. [24] reported this pattern in 9.4% of cases.

Pattern H occurs when the dorsalis pedis artery and lateral tarsal artery do not develop fully, remaining poorly formed and clinically not palpable. This is most likely due to suboptimal conditions during embryological development leading to the incomplete formation of the arteries [19]. Only 3.0% (one Black African male specimen) in the study exhibited this pattern. Adachi reported only a 3% incidence of this pattern type, confirming the infrequency of pattern type H [17].

Pattern I, this pattern of vascularisation occurs when the arcuate artery forms a U-shaped loop through the unification of the lateral tarsal arteries. This is most likely a product of the anterior tibial artery or the dorsalis pedis artery merging with the arcuate artery during development in utero [7]. This pattern was observed in 3.0% (one Black male African specimen) had this variation in the current study. Rajeshwari et al. confirmed the small frequency of this pattern type with a finding of only 2.38% of their sample population [7].

Variations of the arteries of the lower limb, including absence, variant courses and replacement areas of vascularisation have important clinical significance and implications for clinicians [15,25,26]. In most cases, an absence of DPA pulsations is associated with peripheral vascular disease such as Buerger’s disease, pseudo occlusion of dorsalis pedis artery, diabetic autonomic neuropathy [27]. However, there is a need to consider an absence of the DPA pulsation as one of the DPA patterns as seen 6.06% and 15.15% of specimens in this study in whom we observed pattern B & C respectively both associated with the absence of the dorsalis pedis artery and arcuate artery. In
pattern D & E seen in 12.12%, DPA along with its branch lateral tarsal artery may be clinically impalpable [8]. In a clinical environment such presentations may be misinterpreted as a pathological state, hence the need for knowledge of anatomical variations in the course and distribution of the dorsalis pedis artery. In most cases, clinicians use the presence of pedal pulses to rule out vascular insufficiencies. However, anatomical variations may make dorsalis pedis artery interesting if not a little complex. Thus, awareness of the DPA variations is useful to clinicians during an arterial assessment of patients. Precise knowledge of the vascular anatomy of foot and ankle is indispensable to clinicians for example knowledge of the vascular course of dorsalis pedis artery to understand for example avascular necrosis of talus [28]. Anatomical variations in dorsalis pedis artery might be prevalent in patient population. Thus, it is essential that clinicians have sound knowledge about this artery. Clinicians are mindful of the factors that may impede DPA pulsation including systolic perfusion pressure, arterial size, and amount of subcutaneous fat, oedema, fingertip insensitivity and ligamentum laciniatum [28]. However, it remains unclear how familiar are clinicians with potential anatomical variations of the dorsalis pedis artery and how these may influence their clinical practice.

Therefore, there is a need for these and many other similar findings to begin to influence clinical practice. Further studies should be done on larger samples and clinical correlations of dorsalis pedis artery variations within the South African population should be investigated.

5. Conclusion

The dorsalis pedis artery is subject to variation, ranging from an abnormal course, origin, distribution to complete absence. In this study, nine dorsalis pedis artery variations were identified in the sample. Each of these may have an impact of possibly altering the location or strength of the dorsalis pedis pulse during clinical examination. Furthermore, some pattern types may present with a clinically impalpable pulse due to the absence of a fully developed dorsalis pedis artery. Knowledge of the different possible patterns of vascularisation would assist the clinician in identifying alternative locations of the dorsalis pedis artery on the dorsum of the foot. Therefore, understanding of possible DPA variations should be useful to clinicians when making a clinical decision on whether the absence of pulsating dorsalis pedis artery is due to pathology of the vessel or the artery variation.

Fig. 3.8. Pattern H — poorly formed dorsalis pedis artery and lateral tarsal artery.
Brief summary

**Type A** Standard vascular pattern: most observed pattern noted predominantly in Black Africans.

**Type B** Dorsalis pedis artery absent: observed only in Black African males.

**Type C** Arcuate artery absent: observed predominantly in females

**Type D** Absence of arcuate artery and variation in the number of lateral tarsal arteries: observed predominantly in both population groups but predominantly in Whites.

**Type E** Variation in the origin of second dorsal metatarsal artery: observed predominantly in Black African females.

**Type F** Dorsalis pedis present with variation in branching pattern: observed only in Black Africans

**Type G** Variation of origin of dorsalis pedis and lateral tarsal arteries: observed only in males

**Type H** Poorly formed dorsalis pedis artery and lateral tarsal artery: observed only in Black Africans

**Type I** Arcuate artery forms a U-shaped loop: observed only in Black Africans males.
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